UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
JEFFREY E. WALKER	Case No. 1:20-cv-1050 JLT HBK (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
v.	RECOMMENDATION IN FULL, DISMISSING THE ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK
MINA BESHARA, et al.,	OF COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE
Defendants.	(Doc. 60)
Jeffrey Walker initiated this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, seeking to hold the	
defendants liable for violations of his civil rights. The magistrate judge found Plaintiff failed to	
state a claim and granted Plaintiff leave to file	an amended complaint. In the alternative, the
Court informed him that he could notify the Co	ourt that he chose to stand on the allegations of the
First Amended Complaint or file a notice of vo	oluntary dismissal. (Doc. 53.)
Although the Court granted extensions	of time, Plaintiff failed to file an amended
complaint by the ordered deadline of July 14, 2	2023. (See Doc. 59.) The magistrate judge found
Plaintiff failed to prosecute this action and fail	ed to comply with the Court's orders, and
recommended the case be dismissed without p	rejudice. (Doc. 60) The Court served the Findings
and Recommendations on Plaintiff, and it notice	fied him that any objections were due within 14
days. (Id. at 5.) The Court also informed him	that the "failure to file objections within the
specified time may result in waiver of his right	ts on appeal." (Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler,
	JEFFREY E. WALKER  Plaintiff,  V.  MINA BESHARA, et al.,  Defendants.  Jeffrey Walker initiated this action pure defendants liable for violations of his civil right state a claim and granted Plaintiff leave to file. Court informed him that he could notify the Court informed Complaint or file a notice of votal Although the Court granted extensions complaint by the ordered deadline of July 14, 20. Plaintiff failed to prosecute this action and fail recommended the case be dismissed without properties and Recommendations on Plaintiff, and it noting days. (Id. at 5.) The Court also informed him

	Case 1:20-cv-01050-JLT-HBK Document 61 Filed 09/06/23 Page 2 of 2
1	772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014), Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991).)
2	Plaintiff did not file any objections and the time to do so has expired.
3	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court conducted a de novo review of this
4	case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes the Findings and
5	Recommendation are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Thus, the Court <b>ORDERS</b>
6	1. The Findings and Recommendations filed on July 31, 2023 (Doc. 60) are
7	ADOPTED in full.
8	2. The action is <b>DISMISSED</b> without prejudice.
9	3. The Clerk of Court shall close this case.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	Dated: September 6, 2023  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	CIVILD STITLS DISTRICT VODGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	